

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/690,597	10/23/2003	Manfred Bohn	03804.1590-02	6765	
22852	7590 01/27/2005		EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			WEBMAN, EDWARD J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ON, DC 20001-4413		1617		

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	lication No. Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/690597	Applicant(s)  690597  BOHN		
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Onit	
	WEBMAN		1617	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	eneath the co	rrespondence ac	idress
Period for Reply	_			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MAII	ING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute.</li> </ul>	within the statutory minimipire SIX (6) MONTHS from	um of thirty (30) do	lays will be considere of this communication	ed timely. on .
Status	,			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	3/03			·
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	•			
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935</li> </ul>			the merits is clo	sed in
Disposition of Claims				
Claim(s) 12-14		is/are p	ending in the app	lication.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are w	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s)		is/are a	llowed.	
Claim(s) / 2 -/4	is/are re	is/are rejected.		
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
□ Claim(s)		are sub		or election
Application Papers		·		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing I	•			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		☐ disapproved		
<ul> <li>☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected</li> <li>☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> </ul>	to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under	er 35 U.S.C. & 11 9/a)-	(d)		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the ☐ received.	- , ,	• •		
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)			···········	
$\ \square$ received in this national stage application from the Interr	ational Bureau (PCT F	Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
*Certified copies not received:	<del>-</del>		·	
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(	s) 🗆 Ir	nterview Summ	ary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		Other		
Office /	Action Summary			

Art Unit: 1617

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 12-14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,455,551. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims encompass.

The attended claim regarding fungal disorders and the patented claim encompasses the instant claim regarding the vehicle.

No claims allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Webman whose telephone number is (571) 272-0633. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9 Am 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Padmanabhan can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,597

Art Unit: 1617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Page 3

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Webman/LR December 30, 2004